Attorney Docket T8466250US

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re A	application of:)		×.	•
		:	Examiner:	R. W. Addie	
CRUP	I, Frank)			
	•	:	Group Art Unit:	3671	
Application No.: 09/917,824)			
		:	Allowed:		
Filed:	07/31/2001)			
		:			
For:	METHOD OF IN-SITU)	December 29, 2003		
	REJUVENATION OF ASPHALT)	•		
	PAVEMENT			RECEIVE	,
The Commissioner for Patents			•	JAN 1 2 2004	ļ
				200110 00	
				えんししと 36	JUU
	States Patent and Trademark Office			GROUP 36	000

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF DECISION REFUSING TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT

Sir:

The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the Decision dated November 3, 2003, refusing to withdraw the holding of abandonment with respect to the above-mentioned patent application. In parallel, the Applicant respectfully renews its petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment, such renewed petition being submitted under separate cover.

FACTS

1. On November 3, 2003, the Patent Office mailed a Decision on Petition (a copy of which is attached), dismissing Applicant's petition under 37 CFR 1.181 to withdraw the holding of abandonment. The Decision on Petition dismissed the petition on the basis that the cover page of the facsimile transmission of the correspondence effecting the subject amendment did not contain a specific indication that, in fact, an amendment (response to an office action dated September 12, 2003) was being transmitted.

1000 Annix

2. In response, the Applicant submits a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.181 to withdraw the holding of abandonment, which is attached hereto and filed under separate cover. The renewed petition makes it clear that no indication as to the nature of the correspondence is, by legislation, required on the cover page of the facsimile transmission in order for the correspondence to be considered timely transmitted. The petition further establishes that the nature of the subject correspondence was, indeed, the response to the office action dated September 18, 2002, based upon, *inter alia*, a statement of the Agent for the Applicant, attesting on a personal knowledge basis as to the timely transmission of the subject correspondence. A copy of the sending unit's report confirming transmission is also used to support the agent's attestation.

RELIEF REQUESTED

The Applicant respectfully requests the Assistant Commissioner to reconsider and grant the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.181 to withdraw the holding of abandonment. The Applicant further requests the Commissioner to restore the above-identified abandoned application to pending status since the Applicant has properly submitted the Response to the Office Action under 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant's undersigned agent may be reached in our Toronto office by telephone at 416-862-5739. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark Sajewycz, Reg. No. 52,51 Agent for the Applicant

Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP

Commerce Court West, Suite 4900

Toronto, Ontario M5L 1J3

Canada

[TOR_LAW\5593227\2]



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE P.O. Box 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP **Suite 4900** Commerce Court West

Toronto ON M5L 1J3 CANADA

NOV 1 0 2003

NOV 0 3 2003

GOWLING LAFLEUR HENDERSON LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT

Office of the Director Group 3600

In re Application of Frank Crupi Application No.09/917,824

Filed: July 31, 2001 For: METHOD OF IN-SITU

REJUVENATION OF ASPHALT

PAVEMENT

DECISION ON PETITION TO WITHDRAW THE HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT

This is a decision on applicant's petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment, filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), on June 4, 2003.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

A review of the file record indicates that an Office action was mailed on September 18, 2002 wherein a three-month shortened statutory period for response was set. Since no response to the September 18, 2002 Office action was received the application was abandoned.

Petitioner requests withdrawal of the holding of abandonment on the basis that a response was allegedly timely filed. Petitioner alleges that a response was timely submitted via facsimile transmission on February 18, 2002 and submits a copy of an Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission as provide proof of the timely submission.

The evidence submitted as proof of filing the response is flawed. Specifically, the Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission illustrates the cover page but the cover page does not properly indicate what was being transmitted. There is nothing on the Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission that indicates an amendment to application to the present application was sent.

The application is being forwarded to Files Repository.

Any request for reconsideration must be filed within TWO MONTHS of the date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. Should petition desire reconsideration, he should submit a renewed petition which addresses the deficiencies noted above.

Reconsideration Deadline January 3, 2014

Telephone inquiries relative to this decision should be directed to Special Programs Examiner Kenneth Dorner at (703) 308-0866.

Kenneth J. Dorner

Special Programs Examiner

Technology Center 3600

(703) 308-0866

KJD/ekn 10/24/03

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person	ons are required	Patent and Traden					
TRANSMITTAL	_	Application Number Filing Date	09/917,824 07/31/2001				
FORM		First Named Inventor	CRUPI, Frank				
(to be used for all correspondence after initia	l filing)	Árt Unit	3671				
		Examiner Name	R.W. Addie				
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	28	Attomey Docket Number	T8466250US				
	ENCLOS	URES (check all that apply)				
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment / Reply After Final Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Petition Petition to Provision Power of Changer of Terminal Request	p-related Papers o Convert a nal Application f Attorney, Revocation of Correspondence Disclaimer for Refund nber of CD(s)	After Allowance communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): 1. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF DECISION REFUSING 2. RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.181 TO WITHDRAW				
SIGNATURE O	SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT JAN 1 2 20						
Firm Mark W. Sajewycz or Individual name	\sim	Reg No. 52,525	GROUP 3				
Signature							
Date December 29, 2003							
CERTIF	ICATE OF	TRANSMISSION/MAILI	NG				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being fac-	simile transm	nitted to the USPTO or deposited					

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.





PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re A	Application of:)	Examiner:	D.W.Addio
CRUPI, Frank) .		R. W. Addie
Applic	cation No.: 09/917,824)	Group Art Unit: Allowed:	3671
Filed:	07/31/2001)		
For:	METHOD OF IN-SITU REJUVENATION OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT))	December 29, 2003	
	ommissioner for Patents			
-	States Patent and Trademark Office			•
	ox 1450			
Alexar	ndria, VA-22313-1450			

Attention: Office of Petitions

RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.181 TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT

Sir:

The Applicant respectfully renews its petition to withdraw a holding of abandonment with respect to the above-mentioned application.

FACTS

- 1. An Official Action was mailed on September 18, 2002, defining a shortened statutory deadline for reply of December 18, 2002.
- 2. On February 18, 2003, the Applicant filed the following:
 - (a) a Transmittal form;
 - (b) An Associate Power of Attorney or Agent form;
 - (c) a Petition for Extension of Time Under 37 CFR 1.136(a) (in duplicate), requesting an extension to the Response deadline to February 18, 2003; and

- (d) a Response to the Official Action.
 Copies of each of these documents are attached as Appendix A. The total number of pages of these documents is 11.
- 3. The undersigned Agent for the Applicant attests on a personal knowledge basis that the documents described in paragraph two were, in fact, transmitted by facsimile transmission on February 18, 2003.
- 4. The facsimile transmission contained a "Certificate of Facsimile Transmission", certifying that the correspondence was being transmitted to the PTO on February 18, 2003. In this respect, please find attached a copy of the relevant facsimile cover page, containing the Certificate of Facsimile Transmission executed by the undersigned Agent for the Applicant, as Appendix B. Notably, the facsimile cover page includes reference to the undersigned Agent's file number T8466250US, which corresponds to the Attorney Docket Number which has been referenced throughout the prosecution of the above-mentioned application. Additionally, the cover page identified the total number of pages being transmitted as being "12". Furthermore, the addressee of the facsimile transmission is "R.W. Addie", who is the Examiner for the above-mentioned application.
- 5. The facsimile machine which transmitted the subject documents generated a report (i.e the sending unit's report) confirming the successful transmission of 12 pages, which corresponds to the sum of: (i) 11 pages of documents described in paragraph two, and (ii) one page for the facsimile cover sheet. A copy of the sending unit's report is attached as Appendix C.
- 6. As well, the same date that the subject correspondence was transmitted by facsimile, i.e. on February 18, 2003, an Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission was received by the undersigned Agent for the Applicant from the PTO at the facsimile machine from which the subject response was transmitted. A copy of the Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission is attached as Appendix D. Notably, the Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission confirms the receipt of 12 pages derived from the facsimile transmission having the facsimile cover page identified on the "receipt" cover page appearing on the Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission. Such

identified facsimile cover page is the cover page referred to in paragraph three, and a copy of which is attached as Appendix B.

- 7. Under 37 CFR 1.8(b), where the correspondence is considered timely filed by being transmitted in accordance with 37 CFR 1.8(a), but not received in the PTO, and the application is held to be abandoned, the correspondence will be considered timely if the party who forwarded such correspondence:
 - (1) informs the Office of the previous mailing or transmission of the correspondence promptly after becoming aware that the Office has no evidence of receipt of the correspondence;
 - (2) supplies an additional copy of the previously mailed or transmitted correspondence and certificate; and
 - (3) includes a statement which attests on a personal knowledge basis or to the satisfaction of the Commissioner to the previously timely transmission. If the correspondence-was sent-by facsimile transmission, a copy of the sending unit's report confirming transmission may be used to support this statement.
- 8. CFR 1.8(b) is interpreted with reference to CFR 1.8(a). CFR 1.8(a) specifies that correspondence will be considered as being timely filed if the correspondence is transmitted prior to the expiration of the set period of time by being transmitted by facsimile to the PTO in accordance with 37 CFR 1.6(d), and the correspondence includes a certificate for the correspondence stating the date of transmission. Under 37 CFR 1.6(d), correspondence transmitted by facsimile to the PTO will be accorded the date on which the correspondence is received by the PTO, with the exception of specifically enumerated circumstances.
- 9. The Applicant submits that the response to the Office Action dated September 18, 2002 was timely filed by facsimile transmission on February 18, 2003 and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.8(a). The subject facsimile transmission related to correspondence which was not specifically excluded by 37 CFR 1.6(d). Further, the subject facsimile transmission contained the requisite certificate which certified the date of transmission of the subject correspondence as being February 18, 2003, as explained in paragraph three.

- 10. The Applicant submits that an indication on the cover page of the facsimile transmission of the nature of the transmitted correspondence, and specifically an indication that the transmitted correspondence related to an "Amendment" or "Response to Office Action", is not necessary and essential evidence for substantiating the timely filing of the subject correspondence. Notably, this is not a legislated requirement.
- 11. For the subject correspondence to be considered timely filed under 37 CFR 1.8(b), however, the party who forwarded such correspondence must attest on a personal knowledge basis to the previously timely transmission. The Applicant submits that such attestation has, in fact, been made at paragraph three.
- 12. Additionally, such attestation is corroborated by the Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission which was transmitted by the PTO and discussed in paragraph six, confirming receipt-of—an Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission was received by the undersigned Agent for the Applicant from the PTO at the facsimile machine from which the subject response was transmitted. A copy of the Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission is attached as Appendix D. Notably, the Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission confirms the receipt of 12 pages derived from the facsimile transmission having the facsimile cover page identified on the "receipt" cover page appearing on the Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission. Such identified facsimile cover page is the cover page referred to in paragraph 3, and a copy of which is attached as Appendix B.
- 13. Further, the Applicant submits that such attestation is corroborated by the "report" referred to in paragraph four, confirming transmission of 12 pages to the PTO.

RELIEF REQUESTED

The Commissioner is respectfully requested to restore the above-identified abandoned application to pending status since the Applicant has properly submitted the Response to the Official Action.

<u>FEE</u>

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 07-1750 for any petition fee which may be due. However, since it is believed that the holding of abandonment is the result of circumstances not attributable to any fault of Applicant or his representatives, it is respectfully suggested that no fee is due.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Toronto office by telephone at 416-862-5795. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark Sajewycz, Reg. No. 32,52

Agent for the Applicant

Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP Commerce Court West, Suite 4900 Toronto, Ontario M5L 1J3

[TOR_LAW\5591931\2]

Canada

____APPENDIX A____

PTO/SB/21 (01-03)

Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paner	work Reduc	tion Act of 1995.	no persor	ns are required to respond to a collection	n of informa	ion unles	ss it displays	a valid OMB control number.
Orider the Fabets	TOIR REGION			Application Number		910	117.8	24
TR/	NSM	TTAL		Filing Date	0	7/3	31/20	01
FORM			First Named Inventor		<u>Cru</u>	Di		
(to be used for all	correspond	- ence after initial f	iling)	Art Unit		<u> 367</u>	<u> </u>	
(10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20				Examiner Name		<u>k.w</u>	. Pdo	
Total Number of Pa	ges in This	Submission		Attorney Docket Number	T	146	625	048
			ENC	LOSURES (Check all that	apply)			
Amendment After Affid Extension o Express Ab Information Certified Co Document(s Response t Incomplete	Attached I/Reply Ir Final Idavits/decl If Time Re andonme Disclosur py of Prices Application application apponse to	nt Request = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	Rem	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Addre Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)		to Apply of Apply (A) Pr	Group ppeal Com Appeals a ppeal Com ppeal Notic oprietary atus Lette	munication to Board and Interferences munication to Group e, Brief, Reply Brief) anformation sure(s) (please W) HC A Home Agent
		SIGNA	TURE	OF APPLICATION	IEY, OR	AGEN	IT	
Firm or Individual			26912 PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE		-		12 (4No. 1752,525)	
Signature WWW.			<u>~</u> 103		<u>`</u>		3	
Date		<u> </u>	10.18	100				
				ICATE OF TRANSMISSIO				. `
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date:								
Typed or printed								
Signature						Date		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Associate Power Of Attorney Or Agent (37 CFR 1.34) · Docket No. T8466250US (For Representation Related To A Patent Application) In Re Application Of: CRUPI, Frank Group Art Unit Examiner Serial No. Filing Date R. W. Addie 3671 07/31/2001 09/917,824 Invention: METHOD OF IN-SITU REJUVENATION OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: Please recognize the following as Associate Attorney Associate Agent in this application. Name: Mark W. Sajewycz Reg. No.: P52,525 Address: Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP Commerce Court West, Suite 4900 Toronto, Ontario\ M5L 1J3 Tel. No. 416-862-5795 Re No. 20,775 Dated: Feb. 18/03 Signature of Principal Attorney or Agent of Record I certify that this document is being deposited on with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Copyright 1997 LegalStar

Registration Number & Address of Principal Attorney or Agent of Record

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

PETITION FOR EX	KTENSION OF TIME UNI (Small Entity)	DER 37 CFR 1.	136(a)	Docket No. T8466250CA		
In Re Application Of: CRUPI, Frank		-				
Serial No. 09/917,824	Filing Date 07/31/2001	:	aminer . Addie	Group Art Unit 3671		
Invention: METHOD OF IN-SITU R	EJUVENATION OF ASPHALT	Γ PAVEMENT		· -		
TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: This is a request under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) to extend the period for filing a response to the Office Action of						
One month	☐ Two months ☐ T 12/18/2002 Date	Three months until:	☐ Four months 02/18/2003 Date	☐ Five months		
is enclosed.	mall entity status as a small ent	lity under 37 CFR	1.27:			
 The fee for the extension of time is \$205 and is to be paid as follows: □ A check in the amount of the fee is enclosed. ☑ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 07-1750 A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed. ☑ If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor and charge any additional fees which may be required to Deposit Account No. 07-1750 A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed. 						
Mark w. S	ignature angung c7	Dated: Feb.	. 18/03			
Mark w. S. Rej Nb. P.	52,525	or fin As	n rst class mail under 37 C	nent and fee is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the for Patents, Washington, D.C.		
cc:				on Mailing Correspondence		
		1	Typed or Printed Name o	f Person Mailing Correspondence		

PETITION FOR E	XTENSION OF TIME UND (Small Entity)	DER 37 CFR 1.136(a)	Docket No. T8466250CA			
In Re Application Of:						
CRUPI, Frank		<u>.</u> .				
Serial No.	Filing Date	Examiner	Group Art Unit			
09/917,824	07/31/2001	R. W. Addie	3671			
Invention:						
METHOD OF IN-SITU R	REJUVENATION OF ASPHALT	PAVEMENT				
, · · · ·						
To the Assistant commissioner for patents: This is a request under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) to extend the period for filing a response to the Office Action of						
☐ One month from:	☑ Two months ☐ TI	hree months	nonths			
HOIR.	Date	uriui. Gai	Date			
A verified statement of small entity status as a small entity under 37 CFR 1.27: is enclosed. has already been filed in this application. The fee for the extension of time is \$205 and is to be paid as follows: A check in the amount of the fee is enclosed. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 07-1750 A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed. If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor and charge any additional fees which may be required to Deposit Account No. 07-1750 A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed. Dated: Feb. 18/03						
Mak W. Rej No.	society of PS2,525	on first class mail u Assistant Comi 20231.	with the U.S. Postal Service as ander 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the missioner for Patents, Washington, D.C.			
		Typed on Print	ad Niema of Parson Mailing Correspondence			

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

File No. T8466250US

February 18, 2003

In re the Application of

Applicant:

Crupi

Serial No.:

09/917,824

Filing Date:

07/31/2001

Title:

METHOD OF IN-SITU REJUVENATION OF ASPHALT

PAVEMENT

Art Unit:

3671

Examiner:

R. W. Addie

Commissioner of Patent & Trademarks Crystal Plaza 2, Lobby Level 2011 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, Virginia 22202

Dear Sir:

AMENDMENT

Please amend the application as follows:

In the Claims:

Please amend claim 3 by replacing claim 3 with the following <u>Amended Claim</u>. A copy of the <u>Marked-Up Claim</u> is attached for the Examiner's convenient reference.

Amended Claim

3. (Amended) The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein, during step (e), the backhoe apparatus moves laterally relative to the asphalt paved surface connecting the blended intermediate to the entrance to the pick-up conveyor.

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully requested.

Claims 1 to 17 are pending in the application.

Claims 1, 16, and 17 are independent.

Claim 3 has been amended for purposes of consistency with claim 1, from which claim 3 depends.

CLAIMS REJECTIONS

1. Claims Rejections Under 35 USC § 112

Claims 3 to 8 have been rejected under 35 USC §-112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant refers to as the invention. In particular, with respect to claim 3, the Examiner has objected to the limitation introduced with respect to Step (d) in claim 1. In response, the Applicant submits that claim 3 has been amended such that the subject limitation pertains to Step (e) and not Step (d). As such, the Applicant submits that claim 3 is sufficiently definite.

Claim 4 has been also rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, for failing to specifically recite an actual method step. In particular, the Examiner has objected to the fact that the limitation has been introduced with respect to the "backhoe apparatus" recited in claim 1. In response, the Applicant submits that there is no basis for such a rejection. Claim 1 specifically recites, in Step (e), "moving the blended intermediate from proximate the obstruction to an entrance of the pick-up conveyor with the backhoe apparatus." As such, it is clear that the "backhoe apparatus" is an element of the invention as claimed in claim 1. Claim 4 merely introduces a limitation with respect to an element specifically recited in claim 1. As such, the Applicant submits that claim 4 is a proper limitation with respect to an element specifically recited in claim 1 for performing "the moving" action recited in Step (e).

With respect to claims 5 to 8, because claims 5 to 8 are directly or indirectly dependent on claims 3 or 4, the Applicant further submits that claims 5 to 8 are sufficiently definite, and therefore, comply with 35 USC § 112, second paragraph.

2. Claims Rejections Under 35 USC § 103

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 to 17 under 35 USC § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Cutler, in view of Smith et al. and Strunk. In particular, the Examiner appears to be of the view that Strunk teaches a roadway construction apparatus comprising a pick-up conveyor (20) having a backhoe apparatus (34) for feeding roadway construction materials into the pick-up conveyor for recycling into the prepared roadway, and that such teaching, in combination with the teachings in Cutler and Smith et al, render the claimed invention unpatentable.

In response, the Applicant submits that claims 1 to 17-are patentable over the cited references. In particular, the Applicant submits that the combination of Cutler, Smith et al., and Strunk do not result in the combination of elements comprising the claimed invention in claim 1.

Claims 1 to 15

Claim 1 covers a method of effecting in-situ rejuvenation of an asphalt paved surface with an asphalt rejuvenating apparatus including a pick-up conveyor and a backhoe apparatus, wherein the asphalt paved surface includes an obstruction disposed therein, comprising the steps of:

- (a) heating the asphalt paved surface to form heated asphalt;
- (b) scarifying the heated asphalt to form a scarified intermediate
- (c) milling the scarified intermediate to form a milled intermediate;
- (d) blending rejuvenating fluid with the milled intermediate to form a blended intermediate, wherein a portion of the blended intermediate is deposited proximate the obstruction; and

(e) moving the blended intermediate from proximate the obstruction to an entrance of the pickup conveyor with the backhoe apparatus.

The Applicant submits that Strunk does not teach a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a backhoe apparatus (34) to feed roadway construction materials into a pick-up conveyor for recycling into the prepared roadway.

In this respect, the Applicant submits that Strunk's backhoe apparatus is not intended to effect removal of existing roadway construction material for subsequent recycling into a prepared roadway. Rather, Strunk's backhoe apparatus is intended for removal of non-roadway construction materials, such as earth and vegetation, to create the necessary space for roadway construction. Strunk's backhoe apparatus (34) is described in Strunk at column 4, lines 33 to 35, as being "used to remove <u>overburden</u> not accessible to scoop 20." The use of Strunk's backhoe in removing non-roadway construction materials is further reinforced at column 4, lines 55 to 61:

"Bucket assembly 34 can be used to excavate an overlying area to the side of the apparatus as, for instance, when profiling a hillside and to take the material removed and place it into the scoop 20. Similarly, bucket assembly 34 can be used to excavate a trench below the level of the apparatus and to lift the material into the scoop 20.

The Applicant submits that none of the material excavated by the backhoe assembly is of a roadway construction material quality. This is apparent in Strunk at column 9 between lines 1 - 9:

"Organic material such as tree stumps is not desirable in a road bed underlayment. Usually all stumps and other organic material are removed before road work begins. The present apparatus can remove small stumps and logs with the articulated bucket assembly. The bucket assembly and the scoop in conjunction with one another can uproot small stumps and the bucket assembly can lift these stumps out of the path of the present apparatus."

Rather than using the excavated material for purposes of road construction, material excavated by Strunk's backhoe apparatus is intended for disposal. Disposal is specifically contemplated in Strunk at column at lines 62 to 68:

"The apparatus may also function as a front-end loader. In this operating mode, the conveyor is stopped. Overburden, rock or unwanted material is collected in the scoop. The scoop is raised

and the vehicle is moved to the location where the unwanted material is to be deposited. The scoop is rotated so that the cutting edge is lowered, thus depositing the material."

Notably, Strunk's backhoe apparatus is, at no time, relied upon for "feeding roadway construction materials into the pick-up conveyor for recycling into the prepared roadway". Rather, roadway construction materials are scarified and collected by Strunk's scoop for subsequent processing and, ultimately, recycling. Recycling of existing asphalt road surfaces into a prepared roadway in this manner is specifically described in Strunk at column 9, lines 10 to 22:

"Another especially beneficial use of the present apparatus is in renewing asphaltic road surfaces. Generally, the old road surface must be broken up and hauled away. This necessitates laying a new base for the new pavement. Because the rock crusher is adjustable on its output side for size, it may be set to produce crushed old asphalt pavement fine enough for the new base. Chipper, breaker-type teeth are mounted on the leading edge of the scoop. The scoop simultaneously rips and breaks up the old road surface into pieces small enough to be conveyed to the rock crusher. The rock crusher reduces the size of the old pavement small enough to be used as underlayment for the new road surface."

Because Strunk's backhoe apparatus is not provided for "feeding roadway construction material sin the pick-up conveyor for recycling into the prepared roadway", the Applicant submits that Strunk's backhoe combined with the teachings of Cutler, and Smith et al., does not disclose all of the elements of the invention as claimed in claim 1. As such, the Applicant submits that the invention as claimed in claim 1 is patentable over the cited references.

With respect to claims 2 to 15, claims 2 to 15 are directly or indirectly dependant on claim 1. As such, and relying on the foregoing reasons, the Applicant submits that claims 2 to 15 are patentable over the cited references.

Claims 16 and 17

With respect to claim 16, claim 16 claims, *inter alia*, the step of "... moving the milled intermediate from proximate the obstruction to an entrance to the pick-up conveyor with the backhoe apparatus."

With respect to claim 17, claim 17 claims, *inter alia*, the step of "... moving the first intermediate from proximate the obstruction to an entrance to the pick-up convevor with the backhoe apparatus."

The Applicant submits that Strunk does not contemplate using his backhoe apparatus for the purpose of feeding roadway construction to a pick-up conveyor. As such, and relying on the foregoing reasons with respect to claim 1, the Applicant submits that claims 16 and 17 are patentable over the cited references.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees, including fees for the additional claims, which may be required to Deposit Account No. 07-1750.

Favourable consideration is earnestly solicited and, if any issues remain outstanding, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned agent for the applicant at 416-862-5795.

Respectfully submitted

Frank Crupi

Mark W. Sajewycz

Registration No. P52,525

GOWLING LAFLEUR HENDERSON LLP Commerce Court West, Suite 4900 Toronto, Ontario M5L 1J3

MWS:pg TOR_LAW\5275710_1 February 18, 2003

MARKED-UP CLAIM

3.	The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein, during step (e) [(d)], the backhoe apparatus moves					
	laterally relative to the asphalt paved surface connecting the blended intermediate to the	he				
	entrance to the pick-up conveyor.	•				

- -- APPENDIX-B- -



Incorporating the practice of SMITH LYONS

Suite 4900 Commerce Court West Toronto, Ontario Canada M5L 1J3 Telephone (416) 862-7525 Facsimile (416) 862-7661 www.gowlings.com

Mark Sajewycz Direct (416) 862-5795 mark.sajewycz@gowlings.com

Facsimile * Rush *

R. W. Addie

United States Patent and Trademark Company

City/Country

Office

Fax Number 703-305-8623

Phone Number

From M. Sajewycz Feb. 18/03 Date

Total Pages (a) (including cover) File Number T8466250US Staff Code 1616

o Return Fax Direct o Original Sent by Mail

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Mark W. Sajewycz, Reg. No. F

Date: February 18, 2003

If there is a problem with transmission or all pages are not received, please call Pam D Grieve at (416) 862-7525 extension 4658 for retransmission. This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone (call us collect), and return the original to us by postal service at the address noted above. Thank you.

Montréal

Hamilton

Waterloo Region

Calgary

Vancouver

-APPENDIX C

************** TX REPORT ***************

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO

1159

CONNECTION TEL

66250##2\$17038729326 .

SUBADDRESS

CONNECTION ID

ST. TIME

02/18 16:30

USAGE T PGS. SENT 03'13 12

RESULT

OK

Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP | Barristers & Solicitors | Patent & Trade Mark Agents |

GOWLINGS

Incorporating the practice of SMITH LYONS

_Suite 4900 Commerce Court West Toronto, Ontario Canada M5L 1J3 Telephone (416) 862-7525 Facsimile (416) 862-7661 www.gowlings.com

Mark Sajewycz Direct (416) 862-5795 mark.sajewycz@gowlings.com

Facsimile * Rush *

To R. W. Addie

Company

United States Patent and Trademark

City/Country

Office Fax Number

703-305-8623

Phone Number

From M. Sajewycz Date Feb. 18/03

Re

Total Pages 13 (including cover)

File Number T8466250US

Staff Code 1616

o Return Fax Direct

O Original Sent by Mail

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

-APPENDIX-D-----

TO:Auto-reply fax to 4168627661 COMPANY:

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



TO:

Fax Sender at 4168627661

Fax Information Date Received:

2/18/03 4:30:57 PM [Eastern Standard Time]

Total Pages:

12 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received Cover Page =====>

GOWLINGS Incorporating the practice of SMITH LYONS Direct (418) 862-5795 Facsimile *RUSH* 703-305-8823 Total Pages 18 (includin CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent

Received from < 4168827651 > at 2/18/03 4:30:57 PM (Eastern Standard Turns